



Chairman Mr. Brian Stein CBE,
EM Radiation Research Trust,
Chetwode House, Leicester Road,
Melton Mowbray,
Leicestershire LE13 1GA

22nd May 2024

Sent via Email from Eileen O'Connor, Director EM Radiation Research Trust:

eileen@radiationresearch.org

Cc. Brian Stein CBE Chairman EM Radiation Research Trust

For the attention of the Miriam Cates MP: miriam.cates.mp@parliament.uk

Dear Miriam Cates,

The UK EM Radiation Research Trust are concerned for online safety for children. We are delighted to hear you raised a debate on this important issue: [Smartphones and Social Media: Children - Hansard - UK Parliament](#)

Protecting children's mental health and wellbeing is extremely important. It would also help to protect children's physical health risks associated with exposure to radio-frequency radiation emitted by smartphones, wifi, smart meters, and phone masts. It might be considered taboo to talk about the dangers associated with EMF's, it was likewise taboo to mention the Post Office or infected blood problems at the eventual loss and detriment of the victims. Do we need to continue waiting for the damage to health and for the body count to become increasingly evident from exposure to wireless radiation?

I hope that the online safety bill will be used to safeguard children from harmful material and NOT used as a form of censorship to protect the profits of industry or as a method of public control. I am concerned that the online safety bill could be used to stop freedom of speech censoring citizens, doctors, and scientists and media who are trying to raise concerns on many topics such as exposure to RFR radiation harm, lockdown, mRNA vaccine damages, water pollution, and many other environmental topics that go against the profits of big industry.

Children are exposed to classrooms full of RFR radiation from wifi, unlimited use of mobile phones and ipads for education purposes along with exposure to inappropriate education for children on gender identity leading to confusion and harmful ideology.

You said: “we have seen a 5,000% rise in referrals of girls to gender clinic.” [Smartphones and Social Media: Children - Hansard - UK Parliament](#)

Is gender confusion due to children’s exposure to material via mobile phones or from inappropriate education/information pushed by organisations such as Stonewall or both?

Please read the recent Parliament debate led by Neale Hanvey MP which mentions Dr Hilary Cass’s report. I agree with Jim Shannon MP “Let kids be kids.” [Rights of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Gender Non-confo - Hansard - UK Parliament](#)

I can understand why parents fear sending children to school with persistent absence rates at 20.00% across all schools for 2023/2024. [Pupil attendance in schools, Week 18 2024 – Explore education statistics – GOV.UK \(explore-education-statistics.service.gov.uk\)](#)

I encourage you to review **The International Declaration on the Human Rights of Children in the Digital Age** here: <https://www.thechildrensdeclaration.org/> This important Declaration covers screen time addiction, Involuntary exposure to non-ionizing radiation (NIR) and commercial exploitation.

I presented for this group in December 2023 [Eileen OConnor - International Childrens Declaration - Compatibility Mode \(thechildrensdeclaration.org\)](#). I included advice from previous Health Protection Agency Chairman Sir William Stewart. He was the Chief Scientific Adviser to Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher, who was called upon in 2000 by Tony Blair’s government to examine mobile phones, masts, and their impact on our health. The clear advice from Sir William Stewart to the government on mobile phone masts was that he couldn’t rule out biological effects such as cognitive function, cancer inductions or molecular biology changes within the cells and said the beam of greatest intensity should not fall on any part of the school grounds, unless the school and parents agreed.

I would also like to draw your attention to a 15-year-old electrosensitive schoolgirl Jenny Fry who committed suicide in 2015. Jenny was suffering with tiredness, headaches and bladder problems when exposed to Wi-Fi at school. Her mother accused the school of failing to protect her.

Please note details regarding a successful Electrosensitivity court claim for a child in the UK In [2022]. The Upper Tribunal ruled that a council must secure special educational provision for a child who has electromagnetic hypersensitivity and is particularly sensitive to Wi-Fi signals. Upper Tribunal Judge Jacobs found that the child should be considered disabled by her condition under the Equality Act 2010 and that she required an Education, Health and Care Plan (EHCP). Source: <https://phiremedical.org>

More facts/information regarding concerns for children.

Here is a selection of 151 published research references to papers in connection with children and adolescents exposures to electromagnetic fields compiled by Powerwatch UK. <https://www.powerwatch.org.uk/library/downloads/children-phones-3-research-2019-03.pdf>

The UK Chief Medical Officer said: “Children and young people under 16 should be encouraged to use mobile phones for essential purposes only, and to keep calls short”:
https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/215711/dh_124899.pdf

Advice from Public Health England for children: “Excessive use of mobile phones by children should be discouraged”:
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/radio-waves-reducing-exposure/radio-waves-reducing-exposure-from-mobile-phones>

Trust in Government agencies is at an all-time low. The term ‘**captured agencies**’ is often used. Advisory bodies such as Public Health England, ICNIRP and the now disbanded group AGNIR - Advisory Group on Non-ionising Radiation (AGNIR) provide inaccurate misleading advice offering guidelines that are invalid. Please read the important published paper by neuroscientist Dr Sarah Starkey:
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27902455>.

A successful Italian court case confirmed mobile phone exposure caused a head tumour. The verdict highlights the fact that “ICNIRP is a private organisation whose guidelines on radio frequencies has great economic and strategic importance for the telecom industry, with several ICNIRP members having links through consultancy relationships and potential links with the industry and should therefore, refrain from assessing the health effects of radiofrequency levels. 5G is also mentioned, 5G is a slap on the face of democracy, of our data security, of our personal safety, with the risk of increase in exposure limits.” Download English Summary: here: https://www.radiationresearch.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/Turin-Verdict-ICNIRP_Judgment-SUMMARY-of-the-Turin-Court-of-Appeal-9042019_EN-min.pdf

International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) a sub-group of the World Health Organization with its role to monitor and identify global causes of cancer classified the entire RF/EMF spectrum as a “2B Possible Human Carcinogen”. Members of IARC with collective judgment found scientific consensus in reaching this decision. The vote to classify everything on the RF-EMF spectrum as a possible human carcinogen was nearly unanimous: 29 to 1. Download report here: https://www.iarc.fr/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/pr208_E.pdf

The evidence of increased cancer risks has since been strengthened by further human studies, as well as toxicology studies in animals, which demonstrated clear evidence of tumours. The \$30 million US National Toxicology Program (NTP) RF studies and the Italian Ramazzini Institute ten-year research project both found clear evidence of malignant tumours. Two different institutes with laboratories in different countries, totally independent of each other and both producing parallel consistent findings, reinforces the validity of these ground-breaking animal studies. An external peer review panel of 11 scientists complimented the methodology of the NTP study and concluded that the results showed clear evidence of carcinogenic activity.

Clear evidence of cancer from mobile radiation from National Toxicology Program:
<https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/whatwestudy/topics/cellphones/index.html>

Clear evidence of cancer from mobile radiation from the Ramazzini cancer research institute: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29530389>

Furthermore, there are no studies proving this technology is safe for long term exposure or for children to use, but there are thousands proving it is unsafe. The BioInitiative Working Group has reviewed thousands of peer reviewed scientific papers. This group of researchers highlights the fact that bioeffects have clearly been established to occur with very low exposure (non-thermal levels) to electromagnetic fields and radiofrequency radiation. The report calls for the precautionary approach and urgent action due to chronic EMF-related diseases that are a potential risk for everyone. These diseases include adverse effects on the central nervous system, cancer, both initiating and promoting effects, impairments of certain brain functions, loss of memory and cognitive function, infertility, and immune dysfunction. <http://www.bioinitiative.org>

I hope you will consider and include additional information/research provided regarding concerns for physical health risks associated with wireless technology to help safeguard both the mental and physical health and future for our children and for us all.

Thank you for your support.

Kind regards
Eileen

Eileen O'Connor
Director EM Radiation Research Trust
The EM Radiation Research Trust is an educational organisation funded by donations. An independent Charity
Registered No. 1106304 © The EM Radiation Research Trust 2003-2004 Website:
www.radiationresearch.org